# NOMENCLATURAL ADJUSTMENTS IN NORTH AMERICAN ARABIS, CHINESE HILLIELLA, AND SOUTH AMERICAN *NEUONTOBOTRYS* (BRASSICACEAE)

# IHSAN A. AL-SHEHBAZ<sup>1</sup>

Abstract. The new combinations Arabis adpressipilis, Hilliella rupidcola subsp. shuangpaiensis, H. sinuata subsp. qianwuensis, and Neuontobotrys amplexicaulis are proposed. The distinguishing characters of A. adpressipilis from A. pycnocarpa are discussed and evaluated. The new combinations in Hilliella were previously recognized in Yinshania when the genera were united but now recognized in different tribes. The basionym of the long-neglected Hesperis amplexicaulis predates that of Sisymbrium grayanum, and therefore becomes the basis for the new combination in Neuontobotrys. Sisymbrium amplexicaule and S. amplexicaule var. tenuicaule are

Keywords: Arabis, Brassicaceae, Cruciferae, Neuontobotrys, new combinations

Ongoing research on the family Brassicaceae (Cruciferae) for the worldwide Brassicaceae database or BrassiBase (https://brassibase.cos.uni-heidelberg.de/) and the World Flora Online (http://www.worldfloraonline. org/) showed that the nomenclature of a North American

species of Arabis L., two Chinese subspecies of Hilliella (O.E.Schulz) Y.H.Zhang, and a South American Neuontobotrys O.E.Schulz needed updating to bring them in line with accounts of other genera of the family, and they are dealt with herein.

# **A**RABIS

North American Arabis was so broadly delimited by various authors (e.g., Hopkins, 1937; Rollins, 1941, 1993; Mulligan, 1996) that it included species currently assigned to eight genera in six different tribes. These include Arabidopsis Heynh. (tribe Camelineae), Arabis (Arabideae), Pennellia Nieuwl. (Halimolobeae), Streptanthus Nutt. (Thelypodieae), Turritis L. (Turritideae), and Boechera Á.Löve & D.Löve, Borodinia N.Busch, and Yosemitea P.J.Alexander & Windham (Boechereae). For updated literature on the transfer of species from Arabis to the above genera and tribes, the reader is advised to consult Al-Shehbaz (2010), Alexander et al. (2013), and references therein.

The species dealt with here is Arabis pycnocarpa M.Hopkins and its var. adpressipilis M.Hopkins, both of which taxa were described by Hopkins (1937). Four years after their description, Rollins (1941) treated them as varieties of A. hirsuta, a position he held for the following five decades (Rollins, 1993). By contrast, Mulligan (1996) reduced A. pycnocarpa to a variety of A. hirsuta and placed var. adpressipilis in its synonymy. As shown by Karl et al. (2010), and later confirmed by Karl and Koch (2013, 2014), A. hirsuta is strictly an Eurasian species. The differences between these two species in morphology, chromosome numbers, and molecular data strongly support the distinctness of A. hirsuta from A. pycnocarpa. As a result, Al-Shehbaz (2010) followed Hopkins (1937) in excluding A. hirsuta from the Flora of North America and in maintaining both A. pycnocarpa and var. adpressipilis, though he suggested that they should perhaps be recognized at least at the subspecific rank.

The question that has not yet been fully resolved is whether or not to maintain the plants of "adpressipilis" as a variety of Arabis pycnocarpa, to recognize it as a subspecies instead of variety, or to treat it as a distinct species. As discussed below, the evidence at hand supports the recognition of var. adpressipilis as a distinct species, and a detailed description of it is provided for the first time.

**Arabis adpressipilis** (M. Hopkins) Al-Shehbaz, comb. et stat. nov.

Basionym: Arabis pycnocarpa var. adpressipilis M. Hopkins, Rhodora 39: 117. 1937. TYPE: UNITED STATES. Missouri: Shannon Co., Montier, 13 May 1894, Benjamin F. Bush 32 (Holotype: GH-00018780; Isotypes: MO-142240 [as MO-2112399 in JSTOR], NDG-04352, NY-0172645).

Herbs, biennial. Stems 2.5-7.5 dm, erect, usually single at base, simple or sometimes few branched above, often exclusively pubescent proximally with appressed, malpighiaceous or minutely stalked submalpighiaceous trichomes, rarely glabrescent. Basal leaves rosulate; petiole obsolete or 0.5-1.5 cm, not ciliate; leaf blade oblanceolate to oblong,  $1-5 \text{ cm} \times 3-8 \text{ mm}$ , pubescent with sessile, forked and/or stellate trichomes, margin entire or repand, apex obtuse or acute; cauline leaves 15–46, overlapping or not, sessile, oblong to lanceolate or linear, middle ones 1–5.5 cm × 2–8 mm, usually sparsely pubescent on both surfaces or adaxially glabrescent, base auriculate, margin entire, apex acute or obtuse. Racemes often simple; fruiting pedicels

I am grateful to the United States National Science Foundation for the OPUS grant DEB-1252905 that supported this research. I also thank Dmitry A. German for fruitful discussions.

<sup>1</sup> Missouri Botanical Garden, 2345 Tower Grove Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, U.S.A.; P.O. Box 299, St. Louis, Missouri, 63166-0299, U.S.A.; ihsan.al-shehbaz@mobot.org

Harvard Papers in Botany, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2017, pp. 141-144. © President and Fellows of Harvard College, 2017

ISSN: 1938-2944, DOI: 10.3100/hpib.v22iss2.2017.n9, Published online: 31 December 2017

erect to erect-ascending, appressed to rachis, 3–7 mm, slender, usually glabrous. *Sepals* oblong, 2.5–3.5 mm, base of lateral pair not saccate; petals white, linear-oblanceolate,  $3-4.5 \times 1-2$  mm, apex obtuse; filaments slender, 2.5-3.5 mm; anthers oblong, 0.7-1 mm; ovules 60-72 per ovary. *Fruit* linear, (4-)4.5-6(-6.5) cm  $\times 0.8-1(-1.2)$  mm, erect to erect-ascending, often appressed to rachis, flattened; valves glabrous, torulose, often with obscure midvein; style slender, 0.5-1.3 mm. *Seeds* brown, ovate,  $1-1.4 \times 0.8-1$  mm, uniseriate, narrowly winged all around, wing to 0.2 mm wide distally.

**Phenology**: flowers March–June.

**Habitat**: the species grows in dolomite glades, rich woods, ravines, and pastures, as well as on cliffs, calcareous talus, bluffs, and rocky ledges at elevations to 300 m.

**Distribution:** Canada (Ontario) and the United States (Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Virginia).

**Distinguishing characters**: Arabis adpressipilis is closely related to A. pycnocarpa under which (Hopkins, 1937; Al-Shehbaz, 2010) or under A. hirsuta (Rollins, 1941, 1993; Mulligan, 1996) it was recognized for the past 80 years as a variety or a synonym. These authors placed more emphasis on the overall morphology of the plant and placed less value on trichome morphology. The lower stems of A. adpressipilis are almost always exclusively pubescent proximally with appressed, malpighiaceous or minutely stalked submalpighiaceous trichomes and are rarely glabrescent, and the basal leaves are pubescent with sessile, forked and/or stellate trichomes and their margins are always

not ciliate. By contrast, the lower stems of A. pycnocarpa are hirsute with simple trichomes, and the basal leaves are pubescent with simple and distinctly stalked forked trichomes and their margins are ciliate. Both species are consistently and readily distinguishable, and of the hundreds of specimens I examined over the past three decades from throughout their ranges, I have seen only three plants that have the lower stems with a mixture of forked and simple trichomes, instead of exclusively simple (A. pycnocarpa) or exclusively malpighiaceous or submalpighiaceous (A. adpressipilis). These plants are Herman 8790 (GH) from Jo Davies Co. (Illinois), Friesner 19072 (GH) from Elkhart Co. (Indiana), and Cody et al. 9454 (DAO) from West Bay of Manitoulin Island, Ontario (Canada). It is not known if they represent intermediates of hybrid origin, but without full-scale experimental crossing and molecular studies, I prefer not to place extra weight on them at least for now. Although both species are distributed in parts of the states of Iowa, Kansas, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, as well as in Ontario Province, it does not seem, at least for now, that they grow sympatrically. It would a good idea for local botanists in those regions to survey the distribution of both species. Furthermore, about a dozen chromosome counts were published for A. pycnocarpa (see Warwick & Al-Shehbaz, 2006), and all except for an erroneous count consistently show that the species is tetraploid with 2n=32. However, no counts have been made thus far for A. adpressipilis, and cytological studies on this species may shed some light on possible lack of gene flow between it and A. pycnocarpa.

#### HILLIELLA

Hilliella was originally proposed by Schulz (1923) as a section of Cochlearia L. and later raised by Zhang and Li in Zhang (1986) to the rank of genus. Seven additional species were later described by Zhang (1987, 1995, 1997), and she (Zhang, 2003) revised the genus together with Yinshania Ma & Y.Z.Zhao. Hilliella was subsequently united by Al-Shehbaz et al. (1998) with the earlier-published Yinshania Ma & Y.Z.Zhao, and the combined genus was maintained by Zhou et al. (2001) and placed in the monogeneric tribe Yinshanieae by Warwick et al. (2010). However, recent molecular phylogenetic studies by Chen et al. (2016) have shown that Hilliella should be maintained as distinct genus assigned to the Hillielleae, a tribe remotely related to the Yinshanieae. All names in *Hilliella* at the species rank are available and pose no problem. However, two subspecies, which were recognized by Al-Shehbaz et al. (1998) in Yinshania, remained in this genus while their species are currently placed in Hilliella. Therefore, the following two new combinations are proposed.

Hilliella sinuata subsp. qianwuensis (Y.H.Zhang) Al-Shehbaz & D.A.German, comb. nov.

Basionym: Hilliella sinuata var. qianwuensis Y.H.Zhang, Acta Bot. Yunnan. 8: 405. 1986. TYPE: CHINA. Jiangxi, Qianwu, 700 m, 8 May 1958, Q. M. Hu & Q. H. Li 1761 (Holotype: LBG (as HLG); Isotype: PE). Homotypic synonym: Yinshania sinuata subsp. qianwuensis (Y.H.Zhang) Al-Shehbaz, G.Yang,

L.L.Lu & T.Y.Cheo, Harvard Pap. Bot. 3: 89. 1998.

**Hilliella rupicola** subsp. **shuangpaiensis** (Z.Y.Li) Al-Shehbaz & D.A.German, *comb. nov*.

Basionym: *Hilliella shuangpaiensis* Z.Y.Li, Acta Bot. Yunnan. 10: 117. 1988. TYPE: CHINA. Hunan, Shuangpai, Ma Jiang, Tong-zhi Shan, 1000 m, 22 Sep 1986, *C. L. Liao* 1439 (Holotype: HUTM, as HNMI).

Homotypic synonym: *Yinshania rupicola* subsp. *shuangpaiensis* (Z.Y.Li) Al-Shehbaz, G.Yang, L.L.Lu & T.Y.Cheo, Harvard Pap. Bot. 3: 92. 1998.

Heterotypic synonym: *Hilliella xiangguiensis*, Y.H.Zhang, Acta Bot. Yunnan. 19: 139. 1997. TYPE: CHINA. Hunnan, Suining, Huangshuangxiang, Chibancun, Laolongta, 1700 m, 17 Jul 1985, *C. Z. Yuan 319* (Holotype: HUTM).

### NEUONTOBOTRYS

Neuontobotrys is a genus of 14 South American species distributed from southern Peru and Bolivia south into Argentinean and Chilean Patagonia (Al-Shehbaz, 2004, 2006; Al-Shehbaz et al., 2013). The majority of species in the genus were previously placed in Sisymbrium L. and Eremodraba O.E.Schulz, and the nomenclature of all except one is straightforward. The basionym of one of the Peruvian species was incorrectly accepted by Al-Shehbaz (2006), and the new combination below addresses that unfortunate mistake.

**Neuontobotrys amplexicaulis** (Kuntze) Al-Shehbaz, comb. nov.

Basionym: *Hesperis amplexicaulis* Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2: 934. 1891. TYPE: PERU. Andes, between Caball [Caballos] and Obrajillo, *Capt. Wilkes Expedition* 1838-1842 (Lectotype designated here: GH-00312582; Isolectotypes: K-000485117, P-02272632, US-00099947).

Homotypic synonyms: Sisymbrium amplexicaule A.Gray, U.S. Expol. Exped., Phan. Pacific N. Amer., 15(1): 61.1854, non S. amplexicaule Desf., Fl. Atlant. 2: 81. 1798, nec S. amplexicaule Phil., Fl. Atacam. 8: 10. 1860; Sisymbrium grayanum Baehni & J.F.Macbr., Candollea 7: 295. 1937; Neuontobotrys grayanus (Baehni & J.F.Macbr.) Al-Shehbaz, Darwiniana 44: 351.2006.

Heterotypic synonyms: Sisymbrium amplexicaule A.Gray var. tenuicaule O.E.Schulz in Engler, Pflanzenreich

IV. 105(Heft 86): 58. 1924; *S. grayanum* Baehni & J.F.Macbr. var. *tenuicaule* (O.E.Schulz) Baehni & J.F.Macbr., Candollea 7: 296. 1937. TYPE: PERU. Western slopes of the Andes, between 13° and 14°S, above Pisco, between Huauyanga and Pampano, May 1910, 1000-1200 m, *A. Weberbauer 5378* (Lectotype designated here: B; Isolectotypes: F-0092989, G-00371894, GH-00312581).

Baehni & Macbride (1937) proposed the new name *Sisymbrium grayanum* to replace the illegitimate later homonym *S. amplexicaule* A.Gray, and they listed *Hesperis amplexicaulis* Kuntze as a synonym. However, in recognizing the species in *Neuontobotrys*, Al-Shehbaz (2006) should have taken Kuntze's (1891) epithet, which predates that of Baehni & Macbride by 46 year, and recognized it as a new name following Article 58 of the International Code of Nomenclature for Algae, Fungi, and Plants (McNeill et al., 2012).

Neither Baehni and Macbride (1937) nor Macbride (1938) typified *Sisymbrium amplexicaule* A.Gray or *S. amplexicaule* var. *tenuicaule*. Both names needed lectotypification because Asa Gray annotated the duplicates of the former name at GH and US, and Schulz annotated those at B and F of the latter name. The mere listing by Al-Shehbaz (2006) of GH and B as the holotypes of *S. amplexicaule* and var. *tenuicaule*, respectively, does not constitute valid lectotypifications of both names because the phrase "designated here" or its equivalent was not indicated (see Article 9, note 6 in McNeill et al., 2012; McNeill 2014).

## LITERATURE CITED

ALEXANDER, P. J., M. D. WINDHAM, J. B. BECK, I. A. AL-SHEHBAZ, L. ALLPHIN, AND C. D. BAILEY. 2013. Molecular phylogenetics and taxonomy of the genus *Boechera* and related genera (Brassicaceae, Boechereae). Syst. Bot. 38: 192–209.

AL-Shehbaz, I. A. 2004. A synopsis of the South American *Neuontobotrys* (Brassicaceae). Novon 14: 253–257.

——. 2006. The genus *Sisymbrium* in South America, with synopses of the genera *Chilocardamum*, *Mostacillastrum*, *Neuontobotrys*, and *Polypsecadium* (Brassicaceae). Darwiniana 44: 341–358.

——. 2010. *Arabis*. Pages 257–266 in Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. *Flora of North America*. Vol. 7. Oxford University Press, New York.

—, G. Yang, L. L. Lu, and T. Y. Cheo. 1998. Delimitation of the Chinese genera *Yinshania*, *Hilliella*, and *Cochleariella* (Brassicaceae). Harvard Pap. Bot. 3: 79–94.

—, A. CANO, H. TRINIDAD, AND E. NAVARRO. 2013. New species of *Brayopsis*, *Descurainia*, *Draba*, *Neuontobotrys*, and *Weberbauera* (Brassicaceae) from Peru. Kew Bulletin 68: 219–231

Baehni, C. and J. F. Macbride. 1937. Remarques sur les Cruciferae-Sisymbrieae. Candollea 7: 291–296.

CHEN, H., T. DENG, J. P. YUE, I. A. AL-SHEHBAZ, AND H. SUN. 2016. Molecular phylogeny reveals the non-monophyly of tribe Yinshanieae (Brassicaceae) and description of a new tribe, Hillielleae, Plant Diversity 38: 171–182.

HOPKINS, M. 1937. *Arabis* in eastern and central North America. Rhodora 39: 63–98, 106–148, 155–189. Also reprinted in Contrib. Gray Herb. vol. 116 (same pagination as in Rhodora). 1937.

KARL, R. AND M. KOCH. 2013. A world-wide perspective on crucifer speciation and evolution: phylogenetics, biogeography and trait evolution in tribe Arabideae. Annals Bot. 112: 983–1001.

KOCH, M.A., R. KARL, C. KIEFER, AND I.A. AL-SHEHBAZ. 2010. Colonizing the American Continent: Systematics of the genus *Arabis* in North America (Brassicaceae). Amer. J. Bot. 97: 1040–1057.

Kuntze, O. 1891. *Revisio Genera Plantarum*. Vol. 2. Arther Felix, Leipzig.

MACBRIDE, J. F. 1938. Cruciferae. Pages 937–983 in J. F. MACBRIDE, ED. Flora of Peru. Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Bot. Ser. 13.

McNeill, J. 2014. Holotype specimens and type citations: general issues. Taxon 63: 1112–1113.

McNeill, J., F. R. Barrie, W. R. Buck, V. Demoulin, W. Greuter, D. L. Hawsworth, P. S. Herendeen, S. Knapp, K. Marhold, J. Prado, W. F. Prud'homme var Reine, G. F. Smith, J. H. Wiersema, and N. J. Turland, eds. 2012. International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Melbourne Code): Adopted by the Eighteenth International Botanical Congress Melbourne, Australia, July 2011. Regnum Vegetabile 154. Koeltz Scientific Books.

- Mulligan, G.A. 1996. Synopsis of the genus *Arabis* (Brassicaceae) in Canada, Alaska and Greenland. Rhodora 97: 109–163.
- Rollins, R. C. 1941. A monographic study of *Arabis* in western North America. Rhodora 43: 289–325, 348–411, 425–481. Also reprinted in Contrib. Gray Herb. vol. 138 (same pagination as in Rhodora). 1941.
- ——. 1993. *The Cruciferae of continental North America*. Stanford University Press, Stanford.
- SCHULZ, O. E. 1923. Eine neue Sektion der Gattung *Cochlearia* L. Notizbl. Bot. Gart. Berlin-Dahlem 8: 544–546.
- WARWICK, S. I. AND I. A. AL-SHEHBAZ. 2006. Brassicaceae: chromosome number index and database on CD-Rom. Pl. Syst. Evol. 259: 237–248.

- ZHANG, Y. H. 1986. *Hilliella*, a new genus of Chinese Cruciferae. Acta Bot. Yunnan. 8: 397–406.
- ——. 1995. A new species of *Hilliella* (Cruciferae) from Anhui. Acta Phytotax. Sin. 33: 94–96.
- ——. 1997. A new species of *Hilliella* from Hunan and Guangxi. Acta Bot. Yunnan. 19: 139–140.
- ——. 2003. Delimitation and revision of *Hilliella* and *Yinshania* (Brassicaceae). Acta Phytotax. Sin. 41: 305–349.
- Zhou, T. Y., L. Lu, G. Yang, and I. A. Al-Shehbaz. 2001. Brassicaceae. Pages 1–193 in C. Y. Wu and P. H. Raven, eds. Flora of China. Vol. 8. Academic Press, Beijing, and Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis.